

Minutes

of the

Meeting of the Individual Members

of the

Institute of Particle Physics

13:30, 11 June 1995

Université Laval

Québec, PQ

Approximately 45 members were present. The Director, R.K. Carnegie, chaired the meeting.

The following agenda was adopted:

2. Minutes of the previous meeting
3. Matters arising from the Minutes
4. Financial Report
5. Election of new council members
6. Report on the meetings of proxies and trustees
7. Report by the Chair of Council
8. Report from the SAP GSC
9. Report from TRIUMF
 - i) TRIUMF program and 5 year plan
 - ii) status of LHC contribution plans
10. Status of CDN ATLAS

- i) CDN ATLAS project plans
- ii) CDN detector projects

11. Status of CDN BaBar

12. Discussion period

- i) the IPP experimental program
- ii) SAP planning process

13. AOB

2.&3. The minutes of the previous meeting were not available.

4. The Director and the Treasurer presented a short overview of the IPP finances.

5. The current Director thanked the past Director, Alan Astbury, for his contribution to the IPP.
(Applause)

Two members counted the ballots. The results of the election were:

Corriveau 27

Hasinoff 12

Vetterli 29

There were 2 spoiled ballots, which would not have affected the result. Mike Vetterli (experimentalist) and Richard Woloshyn (theorist) are the new members of council.

6. The Director reported on various administrative matters from the meetings of the proxies and the trustees. Notable items were:

The approval of the revised by-law

A review of the individual membership list

7. The Director reported on many items relating to ongoing business and the IPP program. Notable items were:

IPP will be a proponent and advocate for the experiments that have been reviewed and endorsed by IPP council.

There will probably be an IPP day to review the projects submitted to NSERC under the auspices of the IPP.

IPP challenged the statement by NSERC, as part of the reallocation exercise, that the program is unfocused. Members are urged to also challenge this at every opportunity.

IPP - TRIUMF relations are one sided; TRIUMF is well represented in IPP, whereas IPP is not represented within TRIUMF. The Director will work to change this imbalance.

Issues between IPP and NSERC:

- i) The cancellation of the IPP discretionary fund. The Director is discussing with NSERC for some form of the discretionary fund, amounting to 2-3% of the total IPP program, to be restored.
- ii) Infrastructure will be renamed MFA. IPP questions why key computing personnel are excluded.
- iii) IPP feels that the GSC membership should be at least 50% Canadian. The IPP supports a subcommittee of the GSC consisting of the Canadian members and others to consider policy. The IPP is opposed to this subcommittee providing any funding.
- iv) The IPP has no comments on the site-visit or planning mechanism. IPP does not believe that the GSC represents all sources of funding and is thus not the right body to do long term planning.

8. D. MacFarlane (past chair of the SAP GSC) and P. Kitching (incoming chair of the SAP GSC) reported on the SAP GSC. The IPP component of the SAP envelope is 37.9%; the SNO component is 20.8%; the TRIUMF component (excluding the IPP projects) is 7.8%; the SAL component is 14.5% and the theory component is 10.1%.

9. Alan Astbury reported on the TRIUMF 5 year plan and E. Blackmore reported on possible LHC contributions by TRIUMF.

10. R. Orr and J. Pinfold reported on the Canadian component of the ATLAS project.

11. D. MacFarlane reported on the Canadian component of the BaBar project.

All further items were deferred and the remaining 29 members adjourned the meeting at 18:00.

H. Mes, Secretary IPP.